

Special Edition: Law Raw

Thursday, 09 April 2009

Some animals are more equal than others

The National Prosecutions Authority's (NPA) decision to abandon their investigation in allegations of corruption amongst other charges laid on ANC president Jacob Zuma has the country up in arms.

Opposition parties are frantically scratching through law books to see what can be done about this, and the voting public is still reeling from news that a conversation led to the NPA dropping its charges. We, the Pubcast team take a closer look at what the process would be in attaining a court order to intercept conversations for investigative purposes and whether or not we are convinced that these procedures were followed.

Our special guest this episode is Helaine Leggat ICT law expert at Michelsons, she explains to us, what steps according to SA law and the constitution, would have been taken by the NPA to record the conversations which led to Zuma's vindication.

Part 1[{audio}](http://www.discussit.co.za/_media/_audio/itsp/pubcast_SplEdition_1.mp3{/audio})
Download audio files Part 1 ::

Part 2[{audio}](http://www.discussit.co.za/_media/_audio/itsp/pubcast_SplEdition_2.mp3{/audio})
Download audio files Part 2 ::

Part 3[{audio}](http://www.discussit.co.za/_media/_audio/itsp/pubcast_SplEdition_3.mp3{/audio})
Download audio files Part 3 ::

Part 4[{audio}](http://www.discussit.co.za/_media/_audio/itsp/pubcast_SplEdition_4.mp3{/audio})
Download audio files Part 4 ::